UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Brittany Valdovinos	CASE NUMBER
v. PLAINTIFF(S)	2:24-cv-08572-JLS (BFMx)
Target Corporation	**ORDER RE TRANSFER PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 21-01 (RELATED CASES)**
DEFENDANT(S).	

CONSENT

~~TRANSFER ORDER~~

I hereby consent to the transfer of the above-entitled case to my calendar, pursuant to General Order 21-01.

~~DECLINED~~

_____   _____
Date   United States District Judge

DECLINATION

I hereby decline to transfer the above-entitled case to my calendar for the reasons set forth:

Please see attached.

_____

_____

_____

October 28, 2024   /s/ Fernando M. Olguin
_____   _____
Date   United States District Judge

REASON FOR TRANSFER AS INDICATED BY COUNSEL

Case   2:24-cv-08144-FMO (PVCx)   and the present case:

☐ A.   Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings or events; or

☑ B.   Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or

☑ C.   For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or

☐ D.   Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common, and would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges (applicable only on civil forfeiture action).

NOTICE TO COUNSEL FROM CLERK

Pursuant to the above transfer, any discovery matters that are or may be referred to a Magistrate Judge are hereby transferred from Magistrate Judge _____ to Magistrate Judge _____ .

On all documents subsequently filed in this case, please substitute the initials _____ after the case number in place of the initials of the prior judge, so that the case number will read _____ . This is very important because the documents are routed to the assigned judges by means of these initials

cc: ☐ _Previous Judge_   ☐ _Statistics Clerk_

CV-34 (03/21)   **ORDER RE TRANSFER PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 21-01 (Related Cases)**

Attachment to Form CV-34A
Brittany Valdovinos v. Target Corporation
Case No. CV 24-85721 JLS (BFMx)

      Case No. CV 24-85721 JLS (BFMx) and Case No. CV 24-8144 FMO (PVCx) do not appear to be closely related, nor do they call for the determination of substantially similar or related questions of law or fact. The cases involve different products and defendants, i.e., the factual circumstances and defenses will necessarily be different.